

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,028	01/29/2004	Ansgar Rinscheid	11336-677 (P 01045 US) 7607	
	7590 10/01/2007 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10395			CHAWAN, VIJAY B	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/769,028	10/769,028 RINSCHEID, A		GAR			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Vijay B. Ch	awan	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to comm 2a) This action is FINAL 3) Since this application closed in accordance.	. 2b)⊠ Tr	his action is no vance except f	or formal matters, pr		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al., (6,208,965).

As per claim 1, Brown et al., teach a method for recognizing speech comprising: comparing a predetermined number of spoken characters to a corresponding set of character strings stored in a memory (Col.5, lines 8-27);

selecting a group of character strings from the memory based on the first comparison (Col.5, lines 33-58);

comparing a second predetermined number of spoken characters to the corresponding characters in the selected group of character strings (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53); and

identifying the spoken characters based upon the second comparison (Col.5, lines 33-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,028

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 2, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the corresponding character string is stored in the memory according to a tree structure (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 3, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the predetermined number of spoken characters is a portion of the total characters in a spoken character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 4, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the act of selecting further comprises calculating a matching probability based on the first comparison (Co.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 5, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the second comparison further comprises comparing all of the spoken characters to the characters in the selected character strings (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 6, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the second comparison compares more characters than the first comparison (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 7, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where the second comparison compares additional characters in incremental steps (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 8, Brown et al., teach the method according to claim 1, where identifying further comprises recognizing a selected character string that has the highest

Application/Control Number: 10/769,028

Art Unit: 2626

probability of identifying the spoken character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 9, Brown et al., teach a system for speech recognition comprising: a microphone; a processor for digitizing speech signals received from the microphone; a memory coupled to the processor for storing character strings (Fig.1, items 35 and 60);

a comparator for comparing a spoken character string formed from the speech signals to the stored character strings in the memory (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53); and

a controller programmed to select a group of stored character strings based on a comparison of a predetermined number of characters of the spoken character string to a predetermined number of stored characters and further programmed to identify a stored character string within the group of stored character strings that recognizes the spoken character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 10, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 9, where the stored character strings are stored in the memory according to a tree structure (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 11, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 9, where the predetermined number of spoken characters is a portion of the characters in the spoken character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 12, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 9, where the controller is further programmed to identify the spoken character string by comparing all

Application/Control Number: 10/769,028

Art Unit: 2626

of the spoken characters to the characters in the selected character strings (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 13, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 12, where all of the spoken characters are compared in incremental steps (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 14, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 9, where the controller is further programmed to select by calculating a matching probability based on the comparison (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 15, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 9, where the controller is further programmed to identify a stored character string within the group of stored character strings that has the highest probability of identifying the spoken character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per claim 16, Brown et al., teach a system for sound recognition comprising: a microphone; a processor for digitizing sound signals received from the microphone; a memory coupled to the processor for storing character strings (Fig.1);

a comparator for comparing a sound character string formed from the sound signals to the stored character strings in the memory; and a controller programmed to select a group of stored character strings based on a comparison of a predetermined number of characters of the sound character string to a predetermined number of stored characters and further programmed to identify a stored character string within the group of stored character strings that recognizes the sound character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

Art Unit: 2626

As per 17, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 16, where the stored character strings are stored in the memory according to a tree structure (Fig.1, items 35, 60).

As per 18, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 16, where the spoken characters are compared in incremental steps (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 19, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 16, where the controller is further programmed to select by calculating a matching probability based on the comparison (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

As per 20, Brown et al., teach the system according to claim 16, where the controller is further programmed to identify a stored character string within the group of stored character strings that has the highest probability of identifying the sound character string (Col.5, lines 33-58, Col.7, line 17 – Col.8, line 53).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay B. Chawan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vijay B. Chawan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

vbc 9/25/07

VIJAY CHAWAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER